and to establish rates for interconnection, unbundled network elements, transport and termination of traffic, and resold services. On September 23, 1996, Sprint petitioned the Commission in Case No. U-11204 to initiate an investigation into GTE's costs and to establish rates for interconnection, unbundled network elements, transport and termination of traffic, and resold services.

In addition, arbitration cases involving both Ameritech Michigan and GTE have set, or are in the process of setting, interim rates for unbundled network elements, interconnection services, and resold services. In the context of their arbitration proceedings, TCG Detroit and AT&T Communications of Michigan, Inc., sought to sever cost studies from the arbitration cases for expedited consideration in a separate cost study proceeding.

The Commission is concerned that Ameritech Michigan and GTE each have different cost studies, and ultimately rates, being proposed in a variety of cases for the same services and unbundled network elements. The Commission finds that it is appropriate to conduct a comprehensive review for each company to consider their TSLRIC studies and to determine the prices of unbundled network elements, interconnection services, resold services, and basic local exchange services. The Commission also finds that new proceedings are necessary for these comprehensive views, one to deal with these issues for Ameritech Michigan in Case No. U-11280 and another for GTE in Case No. U-11281.

To facilitate expeditious and meaningful review of the studies, Ameritech Michigan and GTE are directed to enter promptly into protective agreements or to pursue the necessary procedural requirements to have in place the appropriate protective orders for material they

deem to be confidential or of a competitively sensitive nature. Those arrangements should be in place before the companies file the new TSLRIC studies.

To permit an expeditious and meaningful review of the studies, the Commission establishes the following schedule:

January 21, 1997	Ameritech Michigan and GTE shall each file comprehensive TSLRIC and resale cost studies and proposed rates for services in its respective docket.
January 31, 1997	Interested persons shall file notice of intent to participate.
February 10, 1997	Prehearing conference at 9:00 a.m. in Case No. U-11280 and at 1:30 p.m. in Case No. U-11281.
March 31, 1997	Parties shall file initial comments on the companies' filings.
April 21, 1997	Parties shall file responses to the March 31, 1997 filings.
May 5, 1997	Parties shall file replies to the April 21, 1997 filings.

Comments need not be in a question-and-answer format. The comments should also not consist solely of legal arguments, although legal arguments and presentations may be included. The Commission is interested in presentations on these very technical cost issues that will assist it in rendering a clear and concise decision.

The schedule above does not anticipate hearings at which testimony will be presented or cross-examined. If a party believes that a presentation by an expert must be made, the presentation shall be in the form of a sworn affidavit.

The parties may use the discovery process, but the presiding Administrative Law Judges shall provide a shortened response period. In addition, the Administrative Law Judges shall shorten the time periods for motions, responses, and appeals, as permitted by the Commission's rules.

Page 4 U-11280, U-11281, 11224 The Commission notes that its interconnection arbitration orders issued to date establish interim rates based on the cost presentations in the arbitration proceedings, pending further review. These new cases are the forum for that further review. In addition, Ameritech Michigan's filing in Case No. U-11224 will be considered concluded by this order, with rate and cost proposals for those services to be resubmitted in the newly established proceeding for Ameritech Michigan or resolved through the negotiation process in individual interconnection agreements.

## The Commission FINDS that:

- a. Jurisdiction is pursuant to 1991 PA 179, as amended by 1995 PA 216, MCL 484.2101 et seq.; MSA 22.1469(101) et seq.; 1969 PA 306, as amended, MCL 24.201 et seq.; MSA 3.560(101) et seq.; and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 1992 AACS, R 460.17101 et seq.
- b. Separate proceedings should be commenced to examine TSLRIC studies for Ameritech Michigan and GTE.

## THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that:

- A. Proceedings shall commence in Case No. U-11280 according to the schedule set by this order to consider the total service long run incremental costs and to determine the prices of unbundled network elements, interconnection services, resold services, and basic local exchange services for Ameritech Michigan.
- B. Proceedings shall commence in Case No. U-11281 according to the schedule set by this order to consider the total service long run incremental costs and to determine the prices of

unbundled network elements, interconnection	services, resold services, and basic loc	cal exchange
services for GTE North Incorporated.		148
C. Case No. U-11224 is dismissed without	ut prejudice.	
The Commission reserves jurisdiction and	may issue further orders as necessary	•
	MICHIGAN PUBLIC SERVICE COM	MISSION
		And Annual Control
	/s/ John G. Strand Chairman	2 <sup>2</sup> .
(SEAL)		i e
	/s/ John C. Shea	:
	Commissioner	,
		194
	/s/ David A. Svanda Commissioner	
By its action of December 12, 1996.		j.
		4

/s/ Dorothy Wideman
Its Executive Secretary

## DOCUMENT OFF-LINE

This page has been substituted for one of the following:

- o An oversize page or document (such as a map) which was too large to be scanned into the RIPS system.
  - o Microfilm, microform, certain photographs or videotape.

of other materials which, for one reason or another, could not be scanned into the Ries system.

The actual document, page(s) or materials may be reviewed by contacting an Information Technician. Please note the applicable docket or rulemaking number, document type and any other relevant information about the document in order to ensure speedy retrieval by the Information Technician.